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|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **TOPIC CATEGORIES** | **SUBGROUP** |
| **CLINICAL MEDICINE** | Mental health / PSP - EPPSI |
| Aeromedical screening |
| Cardiovascular assessment |
| Diabetes |
| Sleep illnesses |
| Visual assessment |
| Medications |
| Neurological and cognitive assessment |
| Age-limit in pilots |
| Drug and alcohol testing |
| Occupational health |
| Inflight medical support |
| Musculoskeletal |
| **HUMAN PERFORMANCE** | Fatigue management |
| Stress management |
| Hypoxia and hypobaric exposure |
| Acceleration |
| Neck and back pain |
| In-flight and simulator training |
| **TRAVEL AND AIR TRANSPORT MEDICINE** | Medical evacuation |
| **SAFETY** | Aviation accidents |
| High-performance aircraft ejections |
| Regulatory Aviation Medical Examinations (e.g. EASA, FAA, LAPL, Basic Med) |
| **SPACE MEDICINE** | Medical screening |
| New technologies |
| Mission to Mars or to the Moon |
| Space research |
| Emergency response preparation |
| Inflight medical support |
| **OTHERS:** [please specify]  Click or tap here to enter text. | Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PARTICIPATION** | **IN-PERSON** | **VIRTUAL [E-poster]** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **\*MODE** | **ORAL** | **POSTER** |

\*The scientific committee will make the final decision on accepting submissions and assigning the mode and/or category

**GUIDELINES**

* Ethical Standards Statement for Abstract Submission Process
  + All authors of abstracts and presentations submitted to, or presented at, Academy Congresses are expected to adhere to the basic principles of scientific ethics. These include:
    - 1) The results of research must be reported accurately;
    - 2) Contributors and collaborators should be fairly acknowledged;
    - 3) Research involving animal or human subjects should be reviewed and conducted in accordance with international guidelines;
    - 4) The authors should report only their own work; the work of others must be properly attributed;
    - 5) Presentations at Academy Congresses should not duplicate previous presentations given elsewhere, although in view of the international Congress audience, the contents of presentations may have been previously delivered to different audiences in different formats;
    - 6) Any potential conflict of interest that could bias, or create the impression of possible bias, must be divulged during the abstract submission process, and during the Congress presentation; and
    - 7) Presentations at Academy Congresses must be free of commercial purpose, bias, advertising, or agenda.
* No more than 2 abstracts can be submitted.
* First author is the poster presenter. Otherwise, let us know if you are the delegated presenter in agreement with the other authors.
* Abstracts must include sufficient information for reviewers to judge the nature and significance of the topic, the adequacy of the investigative strategy, the nature of the results, and the conclusions.
* Presenter must not use the session as a marketing opportunity for services, products, etc.
* The poster presenter will receive a certificate of attendance and appreciation for their presentation/participation
* Travel/accommodation expenses will not be provided.

**ABSTRACT FORM**

**ABSTRACT CONTENT**

**[must not exceed 350 words]**

**Introduction**: please use one or two sentences that simply state the purpose of your study

**Methods**: Provide study details, step by step, for the reader to understand the design of the research. Identify inclusion and exclusion criteria if applicable. If statistics were used for data analysis, please identify your statistical methods.

**Results**: Please report all outcome measures that were mentioned in the methods section. Please present your most important findings first. Please include measures of central tendency (either mean or median), measures of variance (standard deviation or range) and preferably 95% confidence intervals. If statistical significance is reported, please use exact p-values.

**Conclusions**: Your conclusions must be based on your data and results. State only what you have found. Do not include any comments that are not backed up by your data.

**Relevance** (optional but preferable): If relevant to your study, please describe the potential importance of your study from a clinical standpoint.

**[RESEARCH ABSTRACT]**

**Title [English]:**

*Title [French]:*

*use of a translation app is acceptable; should be concise and informative*

**Name:**

**Affiliation:**

**Address for communication:**

**Email:**

**Introduction:**

**Methods:**

**Results:**

**Conclusions:**

**Relevance:**

**[EDUCATIONAL / INFORMATIONAL ABSTRACT]**

**Title [English]:**

*Title [French]:*

*use of a translation app is acceptable; should be concise and informative*

**Name:**

**Affiliation:**

**Address for communication:**

**Email:**

**Background:**

**Overview:**

**Discussion:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PRESENTER** | Yes, I am the principal author  No, I am the delegated presenter |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| This abstract/study/results have been presented before.  Details: Click or tap here to enter text. | Yes  No |
| This abstract has already received an award/s | Yes  No |
| *\**This abstract is complete | Yes  No |
|  |  |

*\*Incomplete submissions will not be considered for review*

Please submit online via [**www.icasm23.com**](http://www.icasm23.com)

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

This area is to be filled out by the details of the poster presenter

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **[ALTERNATE EMAIL ADDRESS]** | Click or tap here to enter text. | |
| **MOBILE NUMBER** | Click or tap here to enter text. | |
| **[WHATSAPP NUMBER]** | Click or tap here to enter text. | |
| **NAMES OF CO-AUTHORS + AFFILIATION**  [You can list a maximum of 6 co-authors] | | |
| Name: Click or tap here to enter text.  Designation & Facility: Click or tap here to enter text.  Email: Click or tap here to enter text. | Name: Click or tap here to enter text.  Designation & Facility: Click or tap here to enter text.  Email: Click or tap here to enter text. | Name: Click or tap here to enter text.  Designation & Facility: Click or tap here to enter text.  Email: Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Name: Click or tap here to enter text.  Designation & Facility: Click or tap here to enter text.  Email: Click or tap here to enter text. | Name: Click or tap here to enter text.  Designation & Facility: Click or tap here to enter text.  Email: Click or tap here to enter text. | Name: Click or tap here to enter text.  Designation & Facility: Click or tap here to enter text.  Email: Click or tap here to enter text. |

**IMPORTANT NOTICE:**

Please note that upon acceptance of your abstract, the first author or presenter [if the first author will not be the presenter] must provide the following:

**Abu Dhabi Department of Culture & Tourism (DCT requirements)**

* Passport copy (coloured)
* DCT Speaker permit ([download form here](https://www.menaconference.com/media/2023/02/DCT_Permit_form_-_Presenters__Intl__pdf_14Feb2023162626.pdf))
* Photo (white background)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| I agree on the submission of Abu Dhabi DCT requirements | Yes  No |

**The above is part of legislative requirements and non-negotiable.**

Please provide these documents within 14 days of abstract acceptance to Ms. Zandy - [zandy@menaconference.com](mailto:zandy@menaconference.com)

Failure to provide these documents will result in rejection.

**AUTHOR DECLARATION**

Third party source(s) of funding should be disclosed.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| [1] I or my institution has received payment or services from a third party (i.e., from a commercial enterprise, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work. | Yes: please list your source of funding, if applicable, below  Click or tap here to enter text.  No |
| [2] I or my institution has financial relationships (regardless of the amount) with any entities. | Yes: if relevant to your presentation, please list these relationships below  Click or tap here to enter text.  No |
| [3] Are there any other relationships or activities that could be perceived to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing what you will present at the Congress? | Yes: please explain relationships, conditions or circumstances below  Click or tap here to enter text.  No |
| Support or conflict(s) of interest must be declared at the beginning of the presentation. | I will declare |
| Submitted by:  Click or tap here to enter text. | First author / principal author  Delegated presenter |

**APPENDIX**

**[SAMPLE RESEARCH ABSTRACTS FORMAT]**

[English]: THE NATURAL HISTORY OF ASYMPTOMATIC CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE: LONG-TERM FOLLOW-UP OF 1487 MALE AVIATORS

[French]: HISTOIRE NATURELLE DE LA MALADIE CORONAIRE ASYMPTOMATIQUE: SUIVI À LONG TERME DE 1487 AVIATEURS MASCULINS

Authors: WB KRUYER, PJ FITZSIMMONS, SL BARNETT

Affiliation: USAF School of Aerospace Medicine, Brooks Air Force Base, Texas, USA

Address for communication: USAF School of Aerospace Medicine, Brooks Air Force Base, Texas, USA

Email: [xxyyzz@gmail.com](mailto:xxyyzz@gmail.com)

**Introduction:** The purpose of this database study was to asymptomatic military aviators with coronary angiography performed for aeromedical indications to determine clinical outcomes for asymptomatic minimal and significant CAD.

**Methods:** We retrospectively reviewed records of 1487 consecutive coronary angiograms performed on asymptomatic male military aviators between 1971 and 1999. Three angiographic subsets were defined: normal (NL, N = 929) no stenoses, minimal CAD (MCAD, N = 249) maximum stenosis greater than zero but <50%, and significant CAD (SCAD, N = 309) maximum stenosis >50%. SCAD was divided into two subgroups: maximum stenosis 50-70% (N= 124) and maximum stenosis >70% (N = 185). We obtained follow-up via questionnaires, telephone interviews, medical records, and death certificates. Events considered were cardiac death and nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI).

**Results:** Mean follow-up for the 1487 aviators was 14.2 years. Mean age at angiography was 42.2 years for NL, 46.6 years for MCAD, and 46.0 years for SCAD. Average annual event rates for first cardiac event at 2, 5, 10, and 15 years were as follows: NL = 0.0%, 0.0%, 0.1%, and 0.1% per year; MCAD = 0.2%, 0.1%, 0.3%, and 0.8% per year; and SCAD = 1.5%, 1.1%, 1.1%, and 1.4% per year. For the SCAD subgroups, average annual event rates for first event were as follows: 50-70% maximum stenosis = 1.2%, 1.4%, 1.3%, and 1.3%; and >70% maximum stenosis = 1.6%, 0.9%, 1.1%, and 1.5%.

**Conclusion:** Event rates for MCAD were higher than for NL, but less than 1% per year. Event rates for asymptomatic SCAD were lower than for similar symptomatic populations, but still greater than 1% per year. The two SCAD subsets also had event rates greater than 1% per year.

**[SAMPLE EDUCATIONAL/INFORMATIONAL ABSTRACTS FORMAT]**

[English]: **NEXT PANDEMIC PREPARATION USING COVID-19 TOP LESSONS LEARNED - AIRLINE INDUSTRY PERSPECTIVE**

[French]: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Author/s: David Powell

Affiliation: IATA, Auckland, New Zealand

Address for communication: USAF School of Aerospace Medicine, Brooks Air Force Base, Texas, USA

Email: [xxyyzz@gmail.com](mailto:xxyyzz@gmail.com)

**Background:** Worldwide aviation has been greatly and negatively impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Right from the outset, organisations, businesses, and individuals within the aviation industry have faced unique and complex challenges. As the pandemic evolved, so did knowledge, attitudes, and expectations. Contingency planning has had to adapt. Controversy has not been rare, especially around the relative weight of preventive measures and harmonisation, creating unique opportunities for learning. Procedures have been assessed, reassessed, implemented and made more robust, which may serve the aviation community well in years to come. This presentation is expected to be part of a panel to discuss controversies and top lessons learned from the perspectives of the medical side of frontline organisations.    
  
**Overview:** As the pandemic evolved, so did knowledge, attitudes, and expectations. Contingency planning has had to adapt constantly. Controversy has not been rare, especially around the relative weight of preventive measures and harmonisation. On the other hand, the pandemic has created unique opportunities for learning in both scientific and organisational terms. Procedures have been assessed, reassessed, implemented and made more robust, which may serve the aviation community well in years to come.  
  
**Discussion:** In this presentation, the author will discuss top lessons learned from the perspective of the medical side of the International Airlin